

■ ABSTRACT

A prospective, multicenter, randomized, controlled trial of non-healing diabetic foot ulcers treated with standard care with or without br-ac: top-line results

Nick McCoy¹ MCRA | Wendy W Weston² PhD | Zwelithini Tunyiswa³ | Herbert B Slade⁴

¹McCoy Clinical Consulting | ²BioStem | ³Open Wound Research | ⁴Chisholm Clinical Research Services, LLC

Correspondence: Herbert B Slade (bert.slade@ccrsbrussels.com)

Received: 24 October 2025 | **Accepted:** 29 October 2025

Funding: Funding was provided by BioStem.

Keywords: Amniotic membrane | diabetic foot | foot ulcer | randomized controlled trial

Abstract

Aims: The primary objective of this randomized controlled trial was to determine whether non-ischemic, non-infected Wagner Grade 1-2 diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs) treated with standard care plus BioRetain Amniochorion (BR-AC) resulted in a higher probability of complete wound closure compared to standard care alone.

Methods: Following a two-week run-in and stratified randomization, subjects attended clinic weekly for 12 weeks or until complete healing was observed. At each visit the wound was measured, debrided as needed, evaluated for infection and treated with or without BR-AC, followed by application of a non-adherent wound contact layer, a foam pad, alginate or hydrofiber dressing for moderately draining wounds, and a secondary retention bandage, including a standardized off-loading device. Bayesian statistics were used to evaluate the likelihood of healing.

Results: The Intent to Treat (ITT) analysis showed a posterior mean absolute difference in healing rates between treatment and control of 0.22 (95% CrI: -0.01 to 0.45), with a 96.8% posterior probability that the effect exceeds zero and a posterior mean risk ratio of 1.9 (95% CrI: 0.87–3.2). The posterior mean probability of closure was 0.31 (95% CrI: 0.15–0.47) for the standard of care (SOC) arm (3% higher than the prior probability) compared with 0.53 (95% CrI: 0.34–0.74) for the treatment arm (18% higher than the prior probability). The treatment distribution was centered substantially higher, with limited overlap between intervals. The SOC arm showed a 36.4% posterior probability of closing by more than 50% at 12 weeks, compared with a 56.4% posterior probability for the treatment arm.

Conclusion: This is the first controlled DFU trial examining a birth tissue product prepared using the 'Bioretain' method designed to retain integrity and contents. With only a 3.2% probability that BR-AC is not superior to SOC, these results provide strong evidence of treatment benefit.

Conflicts of interest: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Tunyiswa and Dr. Slade provided services to BioStem under contract and hold no financial interest in the company. Dr. Weston is employed by BioStem Technologies, Inc., which funded this trial.

Data availability statement: The data that support the findings are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request, which takes into account patient privacy requirements.

Author acknowledgments: The authors wish to acknowledge the clinical investigators, whose participation was critical to the success of this trial: Dean Vayser, DPM (ILD Research), Alex Reyzelman, DPM (Center for Clinical Research), Travis Motley, DPM (JPS Acclaim Multispecialty Clinic), Aksona Novong, DPM (Olive View - UCLA Med Center), Joseph Caporusso, DPM (Futuro Clinical Trials), James Anderson, DPM (Gateway Clinical Trials), Ashley Miller, DPM (Lundquist Institute for Biomedical Innovation at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center), Stephanie Wu, DPM (Rosalind Franklin University of Medicine & Science), Nere Onosode, DPM (VAST Clinical Research – ANADEL), Vincent Giacalone, DPM (Curalta Clinical Trials), Bamidele Olupona, DPM (BioResearch Partner).